

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/576,956		RAGHUNATHAN ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Helen Rossoshek		2825	

All Participants:

(1) Examiner Helen Rossoshek.

(2) Examiner A.M. Thompson.

Date of Interview: 27 June 2005

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: pending

(3) Chid Iyer (Registration No. 43,355).

(4) _____

Time: 11:00 am

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
1-9

Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:


Examiner suggested to change dependency of the claim 3, since claim 2, from which claim 3 was dependent originally, was cancelled and to modify claims 1-9 to clarify the term "partitions" and "parameter value" (See Detailed Action)

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

A. M. Thompson
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)